1	BEFORE THE BOARD OF OIL, GAS AND MINING
2	DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
3	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF UTAH
4	00000
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE )
6	REQUEST FOR AGENCY ) ACTION OF MAR/REG OIL )
7	COMPANY FOR AN ORDER ) ESTABLISHING 160-ACRE ) DRILLING AND SPACING UNITS )
8	FOR HORIZONTAL WELLS IN ) AND THE PRODUCTION OF OIL, )
9	GAS, AND OTHER HYDROCARBONS ) FROM THE DESERT CREEK AND )
10	UPPER ISMAY FORMATIONS IN ) THE NE1/4 OF SECTION 19, )
11	TOWNSHIP 38 SOUTH, RANGE 26 ) EAST, S.L.M., SAN JUAN )
12	COUNTY, UTAH.
13	DOCKET NO. 2010-024, CAUSE NO. 188-04
14	
15	TAKEN AT: Uintah Basin Applied Technology College
16	Multipurpose Room 1100 East Lagoon Street
17	Roosevelt, Utah
18	DATE: September 22, 2010 TIME: 9:44 a.m. to 11:13 a.m.
19	REPORTED BY: Emily A. Gibb, RPR, CSR
20	
21	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
22	COURT REPORTERS WWW.DEPO.COM
23	(800) 288-3376
24	FILE NO. A403307
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	Douglas E. Johnson
3	
4	BOARD MEMBERS:
5	Ruland J. Gill, Jr. (Excused) Jake Y. Harouny
6	James T. Jensen Kelly Payne (Excused)
7	Samuel C. Quigley Jean Semborski
8	
9	DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING:  John R. Baza, Director
10	Dana Dean, Associate Director, Mining (Excused) Jim Springer, Public Information Officer
11	Steve Schneider, Administrative Policy Coordinator Julie Ann Carter, Secretary to the Board
12	ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL: Fred Donaldson - Division Attorney Michael S. Johnson - Board Attorney
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
             Docket No. 2010-024 Cause No. 188-04
 2
                Wednesday, September 22, 2010
 3
              (The proceedings began at 9:44 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. The third matter
     we have then this morning is Docket No. 2010-024,
 7
     Cause No. 188-04, in the Matter of the Request for
 8
 9
     Agency Action of MAR/REG Oil Company for an Order
10
     Establishing 160-Acre Drilling and Spacing Units for
11
     Horizontal Wells in and the Production of Oil and --
12
     Oil, Gas, and other Hydrocarbons from the Desert
13
     Creek and Upper Ismay Formations in the North East
14
     corner of Section 19, Township 38 South, Range 26
15
     East, Salt Lake Meridian, San Juan County, Utah.
16
              Mr. Clawson, you're representing MAR/REG?
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18
              Yes, Tom Clawson on behalf of MAR/REG Oil
19
     Company.
20
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON:
                                  Thank you.
21
              Mr. Donaldson, are you representing the
22
     Division?
23
              MR. DONALDSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
24
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.
25
              Mr. Clawson, please go ahead.
```

MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Thank you very much.

Today we're here asking the board's approval for

160-acre drilling unit -- actually drilling units for

two formations located in the Squaw Canyon Field in

San Juan County, Utah. Those formations are the

upper Ismay and the Desert Creek formations, and

we're seeking 160-acre spacing for two lateral

horizontal wells, drilled one each -- one into each

one of those stratigraphic intervals.

This -- the -- the subject lands is the northeast quarter of Section 19 in Township 38 South, Range 26 East. These lands previously have been spaced by the board back in 1980, and Cause No.

188-1, the board approved 80-acre spacing for the Desert Creek formation, essentially stand-up units, drilling units, which means it was the east half and the west half. And that order also included other lands besides subject Section 19.

In Cause No. 188-1C, the board, after quite a bit of extensive drilling, the board vacated that order with respect to some lands, but not including Section 19.

And in Cause No. 188-3, the board approved an exception well location for the Three Amigos well, which is located in the northwest quarter of

Section 19.

And in 1988, the board -- I mean, I'm sorry, the 1989, Cause No. 188-3A. The board vacated the previous spacing orders for the Desert Creek formation, which in up shot means that the subject lands, which is the northeast quarter, are not subject to any spacing order by the board, and they were made subject to the board's general location rules. So the board has spaced this, but it's also vacated the order that's spaced it previously.

Nevertheless, it is still subject to the board's temporary spacing rule, creating a 640-acre drilling unit for a horizontal well. And that's its current status right now. We're here today seeking the board's approval of 160-acre spacing for a horizontal well because, as the evidence will show, the formation and -- and the reservoir properties don't support spacing the entire 640 acres in this section for purposes of producing the upper Ismay and the Desert Creek formations.

I need to make clear from the beginning
that -- that we're only dealing with the northeast
quarter here today. Our request for agency action
that was filed in this matter does contain a request
to create temporary spacing for horizontal wells in

1 the remaining quarter sections of Section 19.

Because if the board approves our request to create 160-acre drilling in the northeast quarter, that, in a sense, will viscerate the rule and no other party will be able to drill a horizontal well in

6 Section 19.

I'm thinking that it would be good to give the Division a method to administratively approve future horizontal wells in Section 19. We thought it might be prudent that the board create a temporary rule governing those other sections, or other quarter sections. But the Division's indicated that it doesn't support that temporary spacing, which basically renders the issue moot. And -- and we don't wish to pursue that.

We don't have any ownership interest set aside in the northeast quarter, so I'm essentially withdrawing that section of our request. The board can, on its own, with its own authority if it decides to, create a temporary spacing for the -- that would be the northwest, the southwest, and the southeast quarter sections in Section 19. But -- but that's not something we're going to put evidence on today. So just so we're clear about that.

And -- but we are going to put on evidence

```
1
     regarding the northeast quarter which are the subject
 2
     lands, and where MAR/REG and the sister company,
 3
     Nathan Oil -- Nathan Oil, LTD, owns interest.
 4
              MR. JENSEN: It seems to me, Mr. Chairman,
 5
     that it would be appropriate to have Mr. Clawson
 6
     prepare a formal withdrawal. And I don't know quite
7
     what the form is, Mr. Clawson, but -- but withdrawing
     those other sections from your request for agency
8
9
     action so that the record will be clear, and if you
10
     will submit it to the chairman.
11
              MR. CLAWSON: That would be fine. Or
12
     assuming the board approves today's request, I could
13
     include it in that as well. It could be a finding
14
     and part of the --
15
              MR. MURRAY: I think either way, but I think
     we ought to have it in the record, because right now
16
17
     you're -- you're on record formally asking for an
18
     adjudication on -- on quarter sections that you don't
19
     have any interest in.
20
              MR. CLAWSON: Right. And -- and we don't
21
     have any interest in pursuing since the Division --
22
              MR. JENSEN: Right.
23
              MR. CLAWSON: -- doesn't want it either.
24
              That would be fine. That would be a good
25
     way to do it.
```

```
1
              MR. JENSEN: Okay. Thank you.
 2
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: So with that, I'd like to
     introduce my witnesses with me today. To my left is
 4
     Tariq -- or Tariq Ahmad, who is MAR/REG's petroleum
 5
 6
     engineer, who will testify as to the land and
     ownership issues, as well as to reserve calculations
7
     and -- and reservoir economics.
8
9
              And then on my right is Mobashir Ahmad, who
10
     is consulting petroleum geologist working for MAR/REG
11
     who will testify as to the geologic aspects of the
12
     stratigraphic intervals that have been proposed for
13
     spacing today.
14
              And with that, I'd ask that the witnesses be
15
     sworn in.
16
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: (Speaking to court
17
     reporter.) Will you do that, please?
18
              COURT REPORTER: Yes.
19
20
     Thereupon --
21
               TARIQ AHMAD and MOBASHIR AHMAD,
22
     were called as witnesses, and having been first duly
23
     sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
     but the truth, testified as follows:
24
25
     ///
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. I'll call my first
 2
     witness, Tariq Ahmad.
 3
              Would you please state your name and address
 4
     for the record?
              TARIQ AHMAD: It's Tariq Ahmad, 13495 South
 5
 6
     Hills Drive, Reno, Nevada 89511.
7
              MR. CLAWSON: And what is your affiliation
     with MAR/REG?
8
9
              TARIQ AHMAD: I am a petroleum engineer for
10
     MAR/REG Oil Company.
11
              MR. CLAWSON: And what are your -- and what
12
     are your principal responsibilities in that position?
13
              TARIQ AHMAD: With MAR/REG, I do all the
     petroleum engineering and as well as the land and --
14
15
     land and assignments and things of that sort.
16
              MR. CLAWSON: Is MAR/REG a Nevada
17
     corporation?
18
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, it is.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: Is it in good standing?
20
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
21
              MR. CLAWSON: Where is its principal place
22
     of business?
23
              TARIQ AHMAD: Reno, Nevada.
24
              MR. CLAWSON: And is it qualified to conduct
25
     business in Utah?
```

```
TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, it is.
1
 2
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. I draw your attention
 3
     to Exhibit No. 1.
              Are you -- have you examined this exhibit
 4
 5
     and are you familiar with it?
 6
              TARIQ AHMAD: I have.
              MR. CLAWSON: Could you please point out
7
     where the Squaw Canyon Field is?
8
9
              TARIQ AHMAD: It's on this map. It's
10
     labeled as Squaw Canyon, and it's around -- in the
11
     blank -- right around the Blanding area, right there
12
     to the southeast (indicating).
13
              MR. CLAWSON: Now, I call your attention to
14
     Exhibit No. 2.
15
                    (Exhibit 2 was discussed.)
              MR. CLAWSON: Have you examined this exhibit
16
17
     and are you familiar with it?
18
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, I have.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: What is this exhibit and what
20
     does it tell us?
21
              TARIQ AHMAD: This exhibit, I got this from
     the report done by the Utah Geological Survey. And
22
23
     this report -- this map shows location of all of the
24
     oil and gas fields in the southeast area of Utah, but
25
     what it also shows, if you look at it closely, it
```

shows the trains of all the fields are going
northwest, southeast, and that's the general area
that we are interested in.

MR. CLAWSON: Could you please provide us with a brief history of the Squaw County Field and this development?

TARIQ AHMAD: Yeah, Squaw Canyon Field was discovered in 1979 by using seismic, by MC Oil Company, McCulloch Oil Corporation. The first well drilled there was the Federal 1-19. It was tested in the Desert Creek formation. When it came in, its initial production rate was over 500 barrels a day. There was no natural gas pipeline to the field at that -- back at that time, so they had to compress the gas and take it to -- by truck to some pipeline area.

Thereafter, the additional wells were drilled in the same area to delineate this field.

Most of the -- I think all the wells were plugged except for the 3-19. But over the years, what's happened is the 1-19 well had two holes in the casing. And because of the holes in the casing, they -- the previous operators, they cemented the holes and put the well back in production and came back online and did pretty well. But then they had

another hole and the same cement squeeze job failed, so they had the same problem.

When we took over the field, we put a packer in the hole to test the lower zones, but I don't think that worked out too well. So now we are pulling -- we pulled everything out. We're going to do another cement squeeze in that well and try to put it back online. It's not that the reservoir has problems, it's the holes in the casing uphold.

The 3-19 is producing also from the Desert Creek and Ismay formation. And it's -- it's basically now doing about 5 to 6 barrels a day with hardly any water production.

What we did was after we had studied this field. We also operate a field next door to this. It is called the Tin Cup field, which was discovered by Marathon Oil Company. And in between, back in 2000, I think 2005-2005, Department of Energy had given a grant to Utah Geological Survey to do a study on algal mound fields in this area. And that study basically stated that there is a lot of fields in this area which will be abandoned unless something is done. And they recommended drilling horizontal wells, to -- to get additional oil from -- from the same fields.

Most of the fields are just one well to ten well fields, averaging between 700,000 and 2.5 million barrels in recoverable. And so based on the Utah Geological Survey report and the Department of Energy, we studied the fields and came to the same conclusion that in order to increase the ultimate recovery, you must drill horizontal wells.

MR. CLAWSON: Is the Snow -- or I mean, I'm sorry, the Squaw Canyon field an algal mound feature?

TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.

MR. CLAWSON: Could you please explain the general purpose behind today's spacing proceeding?

TARIQ AHMAD: Okay. The two wells which are currently there, one is producing the 3-19 and the 1-19, after we looked at it, we saw what the -- how much oil is in that area. The only way to increase your ultimate recovery was to drill a horizontal well. These -- this particular field is basically producing from fractures. And with the vertical well, you're not going to get the drainage that you should be getting. We have had pretty good success with Questar, for example, up in the Brandon Bottoms area where we are partners with them, where they have drilled a lot of horizontal wells in -- in basically a very minimal stripper oil field and had great

```
1
     success.
              So similarly, in this area, we feel that if
 2
     we were to drill a horizontal well, he can drain both
 3
     the upper Ismay and the Desert Creek from a single --
 4
     single well bore with two laterals and take -- drain
 5
     the whole 160 acres from -- from that one well. And
7
     at the same time, produce by gravity drainage, off
     the two well bores which are there.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: So MAR/REG intends to continue
10
     producing the vertical wells, even if it drills the
11
     horizontal well?
12
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, it does.
13
              MR. CLAWSON: And will you commingle the
     production, the horizontal well and the two different
14
15
     intervals, will you commingle that production?
16
              TARIO AHMAD: Yes.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now I refer you to
18
     Exhibit No. 3.
19
                    (Exhibit No. 3 was discussed.)
20
              MR. CLAWSON: Have you examined this exhibit
21
     and are you familiar with it?
22
              TARIQ AHMAD: I have.
23
              MR. CLAWSON: Can you please tell us what
     this shows?
24
25
              TARIQ AHMAD: This well shows the ownership
```

```
1
     with this -- this Exhibit shows the ownership in
     Section 19 and surrounding areas and what -- which
 3
     section -- which parts are on lease, for example. In
     Section 19, the northwest quarter is unleased and so
 4
     is the southeast quarter.
 5
 6
              The northeast and the southwest quarters are
     on the same lease. You --
 7
                    (School bell sounding.)
 8
 9
                    (Clarification by court reporter.)
10
              THE WITNESS: I'm saying the northeast
     quarter and the southwest quarter are on the same
11
12
     lease and section land.
              MR. CLAWSON: And what is that lease number?
13
14
              TARIQ AHMAD: U40401.
15
              MR. CLAWSON: Who owns the minerals in --
     under the -- under the lease?
16
17
               TARIQ AHMAD: U.S. Government.
18
              MR. CLAWSON: And is the northeast quarter
19
     of Section 9, Township 25 East, Range 26 -- I mean,
20
     Township 25 South Ranch 26 East -- I'm saying this
21
     wrong.
22
              What -- can you give us the legal
23
     description of the subject lands?
              TARIQ AHMAD: Section 19, Township 38 South,
24
25
     Range 26 East.
```

```
MR. CLAWSON: And -- and -- but who owns
1
     the -- the surface?
 3
              TARIQ AHMAD: United States.
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now, I would refer you
 4
     to Exhibit 18.
5
 6
                   (Exhibit 18 was discussed.)
7
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, sir.
              MR. CLAWSON: Which is labeled ownership
9
     interests.
10
              TARIQ AHMAD: Right.
11
              MR. CLAWSON: Have you examined this exhibit
12
     and are you familiar with it?
13
              TARIQ AHMAD: I have and I am familiar with
14
     it.
15
              MR. CLAWSON: And -- and what does this
     exhibit tell us?
16
17
              TARIQ AHMAD: It tells us the ownership of
18
     Section 19.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: All of the ownership?
20
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
21
              MR. CLAWSON: Who owns the -- the -- who are
22
     the leasehold owners in the northeast corner of
23
     Section 19?
24
              TARIQ AHMAD: The record title is owned by
     QEP Energy and Exxon Mobil, 50 percent. And the
25
```

```
1
     operator rights are owned by Nathan Oil, LLC, and --
 2
     75 percent, and QEP Energy, 25 percent.
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: And is MAR/REG associated with
 4
     Nathan Oil?
 5
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, they're associated
 6
     companies.
7
              MR. CLAWSON: And QEP Energy company owns
     the 25 percent working interest in the subject lands?
8
9
              TARIO AHMAD: Yes.
10
              MR. CLAWSON: Or corroborating rights?
11
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
12
              MR. CLAWSON: Does QEP support MAR/REG's
13
     request in spacing for the northeast quarter?
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes. I have talked to QEP's
14
15
     Donald Chavez, and I sent him all the documents and I
16
     got their support for this.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Is the northeast quarter
     subject to a voluntary pooling agreement?
18
19
              TARIQ AHMAD: It's subject to a joint
20
     operating agreement.
21
              MR. CLAWSON: And is that joint operating
22
     agreement Exhibit 16?
23
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, it is.
              MR. CLAWSON: Have you examined and are you
24
     familiar with Exhibit 16?
25
```

```
1
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
 2
              MR. CLAWSON: And you've already testified,
     the BLM, or the Federal Government owns the surface
 3
     of the federal lands.
4
 5
              Who administers the minerals and that sort
 6
     of thing?
7
              TARIQ AHMAD: The BLM.
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now, I would refer you
8
9
     to Exhibit No. 17.
10
                   (Exhibit No. 17 was discussed.)
11
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Is this the request for
12
     agency action that has been involved in this cause?
13
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
              MR. CLAWSON: Towards the back of the
14
15
     request, there is a list of names and addresses.
16
              Is this a certificate of mailing for request
17
     for agency action?
18
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, it is.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: And what does it show?
20
              TARIQ AHMAD: It shows every entity that was
21
     sent a copy of this notice.
22
              MR. CLAWSON: So the owners, operators and
23
     surface owners for Section -- I mean, the northeast
24
     quarter section?
25
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: And how did you determine
     which parties to include on that list?
 3
              TARIQ AHMAD: That was from the state office
     for the Bureau of Land Management, and they had all
 4
     the ownership records.
 5
 6
              MR. CLAWSON: Was the request for agency
7
     action mailed to everyone on this list?
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now I'd just refer you
10
     back to Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 16, 17 and 18.
11
              Are these documents that -- that are
12
     contained in MAR/REG's business files, or were they
13
     prepared by MAR/REG in connection with this
     proceeding or in the regular course of MAR/REG's
14
     business activities?
15
16
              TARIO AHMAD: Yes.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. That's the end of my
     questions for Mr. Ahmad at this moment.
18
19
              I would move that Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 16, 17
20
     and 18 be admitted.
21
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Donaldson?
              MR. DONALDSON: The Division does not object
22
23
     to the admission of the exhibits, however, we have
24
     some questions.
25
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay.
```

```
1
              Does the board have any objections?
 2
              MR. JENSEN: I noticed when you were having
 3
     some problems with that legal description on your
 4
     Exhibit 3, you want to -- shall I read it in the
     record for you, Mr. Clawson?
 5
 6
              It needs to say Township 26 East, Range 38
7
     South at the top of the exhibit so that there's
     not -- not any misunderstanding.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: I thank you for that. That's
10
     helpful. I -- it's the township next to it is 25
11
     East, so I was reading through the townships.
12
              MR. JENSEN: So it should be Township 26
13
     East, Range 38 South.
14
              MR. CLAWSON: Exactly. Thank you.
15
              MR. JENSEN: Okay.
16
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Well, I think it's
     showing the left side of the page is Township --
17
18
              MR. QUIGLEY: Twenty-five.
19
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: -- Range 25 East. And
20
     then the right-hand side is 26 East.
21
              MR. CLAWSON: Right.
22
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Are there any
23
     objections?
24
              MR. JENSEN: I see -- I see what's
25
     happening.
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: Should be a line.
 2
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah, a Township line,
 3
     Range line.
 4
              Okay. So Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 16, 17, 18 are
 5
     admitted.
              Mr. Clawson?
              MR. CLAWSON: That's all the questions I
7
     have for this witness.
8
9
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Donaldson?
10
              MR. DONALDSON: First of all I wanted to
11
     just ask, was Mr. Ahmad providing expert testimony?
12
              MR. CLAWSON: No, he's a fact witness.
13
              MR. DONALDSON: Okay.
14
              MR. CLAWSON: I didn't ask him any opinions.
15
              MR. DONALDSON: Okay. Then the staff
16
     members have some questions they want to pose to
17
     Mr. Ahmad.
18
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Doucet?
19
              MR. DOUCET: Dustin Doucet, petroleum
20
     engineer for the division.
21
              I've got a few questions here. I had a
22
     question maybe you can clear up for me. On Exhibit 3
23
     and Exhibit 18, I think it shows that it's -- that
24
     the northeast quarter and the southwest quarter are
     one lease; is that correct?
25
```

```
1
              TARIQ AHMAD: That's correct, yes.
 2
              MR. DOUCET: And I believe, Mr. Clawson,
 3
     didn't you say the only ownership in your opening
     testimony was the northeast quarter that you guys
4
     had?
 5
 6
              MR. CLAWSON: That's correct.
7
              MR. DOUCET: So you don't have the entire
     lease?
8
9
              TARIQ AHMAD: That's correct.
10
              MR. DOUCET: Just a portion of the lease.
11
     And that JOA is for the northeast quarter?
12
              TARIQ AHMAD: That's correct.
              MR. DOUCET: Okay.
13
14
              MR. CLAWSON: Well, I --
15
              TARIQ AHMAD: At least. At least.
16
              MR. DOUCET: On Exhibit 18, that difference
17
     in ownership, the stratigraphic interval, 5612 --
     5612 feet, is that -- is the 5612 and below, is that
18
19
     the Desert Creek or is everything included in that
20
     upper interval?
21
              TARIQ AHMAD: Everything is included above
22
     5612. The way it was done was when the 1-19 was
23
     drilled, it was drilled down to that depth. So they
24
     earned everything to that point.
25
              MR. DOUCET: Okay.
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: I think as a matter of
2
     clarification, are both the Upper Ismay and the
     Desert Creek formation above 5612 in that?
 3
 4
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes.
 5
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
              MR. DOUCET: I think that's all the
 6
7
     questions I had.
              MR. DONALDSON: No more questions for this
8
9
     witness.
10
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Donaldson.
11
              Does the board have questions?
12
              MR. HAROUNY: I have a couple questions for
13
     Mr. Ahmad.
14
              Mr. Ahmad, good to see you after so long.
15
     The operating agreement is between MAR/REG as the
16
     operator and who are the non operators?
17
              TARIQ AHMAD: At this point, it's QEP
18
     Energy. Questar.
19
              MR. HAROUNY: Questar.
20
              TARIQ AHMAD: And Nathan Oil.
21
              MR. HAROUNY: So this operating agreement is
22
     the original operating agreement, and you are pretty
     much the successor operator?
23
24
              TARIQ AHMAD: That's correct.
25
              MR. HAROUNY: Is -- is MAR/REG an owner in
```

```
the lease or the well?
1
 2
              TARIQ AHMAD: No.
 3
              MR. HAROUNY: The -- the owner is 75 percent
     Nathan Oil?
 4
              TARIQ AHMAD: That's correct.
 5
 6
              MR. HAROUNY: And did you have any -- do you
7
     have their consent in writing to proceed with this.
8
              TARIQ AHMAD: I'm the managing partner of
     Nathan Oil. I've given all of my consent.
9
10
              MR. HAROUNY: Okay. But you have it as a
11
     matter of documentation?
              TARIQ AHMAD: You mean in the record?
12
              MR. HAROUNY: Yes.
13
14
              MR. CLAWSON: We haven't included it.
15
              MR. HAROUNY: Since MAR/REG is not an owner?
              MR. CLAWSON: Well, it's the operator, and
16
17
     it's the designated operator by the owner. So it's
18
     the party that has the right to produce and develop
19
     it.
20
              MR. HAROUNY: Develop the property.
21
              But you see what I'm saying? There's a --
              MR. CLAWSON: Well, we haven't -- you know,
22
23
     we haven't included all of the documentation that
24
     draws the line in between the original parties and
25
     MAR/REG as the operator.
```

```
1
              MR. HAROUNY: Correct.
 2
              MR. CLAWSON: But I can have my witness --
 3
              MR. HAROUNY: The operating agreement
     doesn't have MAR/REG's name, so --
 4
 5
              MR. CLAWSON: Correct. It's an old
 6
     operating agreement, but it covers the subject lines
7
     and there's no dispute as to that.
              TARIQ AHMAD: I'm pretty sure you're
8
9
     familiar with it. When you operated for me, you
10
     operated under Graham Energy.
11
              MR. HAROUNY: Right. I understand. But the
12
     records don't show that MAR/REG is the operator --
13
     the operating agreement doesn't show that MAR/REG is
     the current operator.
14
15
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Well, I think we can
     correct that with his testimony.
16
17
              MR. HAROUNY: I'm just trying to get the
18
     record straight.
19
              The -- I had another question, but that had
20
     to do with the stratigraphic equivalent. Is there
21
     a -- a analog log well or a well or equivalent to a
     certain geological formation for that -- for the
22
23
     depth limitation?
24
              TARIQ AHMAD: You mean the 5612?
25
              MR. HAROUNY: Uh-huh.
```

```
1
              TARIQ AHMAD: That's the bottom of the
 2
     Desert Creek. That's when they drill through it,
     that's when they hit the TD, and that's with the --
 3
 4
     they earned the rights.
              MR. HAROUNY: Does it say to the base of
 5
 6
     Desert Creek?
              MR. CLAWSON: No. No. It's a specific --
7
     the spaced interval, which is a fine permit.
8
9
              MR. HAROUNY: It's a specific depth.
10
              MR. CLAWSON: Are you talking about the
11
     assignment?
              MR. HAROUNY: I'm talking about if the
12
13
     location of the new well ends up being on top of a
14
     mountain, does he get to the base of Desert Creek or
15
     not?
16
              TARIQ AHMAD: Okay. I understand what
17
     you're saying.
                    It's a specific depth. It -- the
18
     assignments -- that's a really good question.
19
     assignments for -- to a specific depth, and we are
20
     going to be drilling. And it's a couple hundred feet
21
     below that particular zone. And the 3-19, for
22
     example, which is the well, I think, directly
23
     northeast of the 1-19, it is about 300 feet above on
24
     the side a mountain.
25
              MR. HAROUNY: So that's what I'm trying
```

1 to --

2 TARIQ AHMAD: Right.

MR. HAROUNY: All I see here is a specific number, no equivalency, no to the base of such and such formation.

MR. CLAWSON: I -- I -- I appreciate your line of questioning, but I'm not sure that it's actually germane to the spacing proceeding. Because we're talking about a very specific interval in the Desert Creek formation, which is above, you know, I don't know how high. We will have testimony as to the stratigraphic units, but -- but the ownership beneath -- we're talking about two zones.

MR. HAROUNY: Correct.

MR. CLAWSON: The Upper Ismay and the Desert Creek, and they're both defined in the agency action, and that's what we're asking for to space. We're not dealing with anything below the Desert Creek interval. So the assignments and the ownership interests beneath these stratigraphic intervals may be important to MAR/REG and its dealings with its partners and whatnot in terms of what it can earn and that type of affair, but it's not really part of -- we're not asking that the board do anything beneath --

```
1
              TARIQ AHMAD: Well, I think I can answer
 2
     your question.
 3
              MR. HAROUNY: Okay, I'm just --
              TARIQ AHMAD: I just read this again, and
 4
     the way this is written, there's a stratigraphic
 5
     interval on the surface of 5612. So whatever that
 6
     interval is at 5612, you can correlate it on
7
8
     geologic -- I mean log wise to another section.
9
     mean, it's a marker and -- and it can't be that
10
     difficult to correlate.
11
              MR. HAROUNY: The markers usually say
12
     equivalent to Section 5612 and --
13
              TARIQ AHMAD: No, stratigraphic intervals.
14
     So that geologically would mean a specific interval
15
     at that depth, whatever is that equivalent in that --
     in that quarter section, whatever -- wherever we did
16
17
     drill, we can have that equivalency on the other
18
     wells. It's not on the surface --
19
              MR. HAROUNY: For --
20
              TARIQ AHMAD: I know what you're saying.
21
     You're saying if the depth was 500 feet off, you
22
     would miss the whole thing. But if it's -- since the
23
     assigning says stratigraphic interval, that is fine,
24
     then the -- it can be adjusted for that depth.
25
              MR. HAROUNY: I have no other question.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other questions for
2
     Mr. Ahmad?
 3
                   (No response).
 4
              Okay. Thank you.
 5
              Mr. Clawson?
 6
              MR. CLAWSON: I'd like to ask a few
7
     questions on redirect.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Go ahead.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: Just to clarify the record.
10
              You previously testified that Nathan Oil,
11
     LTD, owns the minerals beneath the subject lands?
              TARIQ AHMAD: It's Nathan Oil, LLC.
12
13
              MR. CLAWSON: LLC?
14
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, sir.
15
              MR. CLAWSON: Oh, I'm sorry. What is the
16
     relationship in between Nathan Oil and MAR/REG?
17
              TARIQ AHMAD: They are related companies. I
18
     am the managing partner of Nathan Oil.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: And is MAR/REG the designated
20
     operator for these two -- well, for Nathan Oil's
21
     interest within the subject lands?
22
              TARIQ AHMAD: Yes, it is.
23
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. So that's all the
24
     questions I have on redirect.
25
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you,
```

```
Mr. Ahmad and Mr. Clawson.
1
 2
              Go ahead.
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: Thank you. Now I'd like to
     call my second witness.
 4
 5
              Would you please state your name and address
 6
     for the Board?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Mobashir Ahmad, 12805
7
     Thomas Creek Road, Reno, Nevada 89501.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: What is your affiliation with
10
     MAR/REG.
11
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: I'm a full-time employee of
12
     Pacific Engineering and Mining, and MAR/REG is an
13
     affiliated company.
              MR. CLAWSON: And are you here today in the
14
15
     capacity of a consulting geologic petroleum
16
     geologist?
17
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Well, I am an employee of
     Pacific Engineering, so I'm in the field and -- yes,
18
19
     I quess.
20
              MR. CLAWSON: So you're petroleum --
21
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, correct.
22
              MR. CLAWSON: Could you please tell us --
23
     well, what are your principal responsibilities in
24
     that capacity?
25
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Basically, what I do is I
```

1 look at mainly oil fields that have problems in this 2 geographic columns and look at the vent logs 3 extensively to see what volumes that existed and to remedy such problems. That's one of my jobs that 4 concerns this testimony. 5 6 MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Could you please 7 briefly run through your education and experience? MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yeah, I have my bachelor's 8 9 in geophysical engineering at Colorado School of 10 Mines in 1983. 11 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Could you slow 12 down just a little bit? 13 MOBASHIR AHMAD: My bachelor's is in 14 geophysical engineering from Colorado School of Mines 15 in 1983. And I have a master's in metallurgical engineering from MacKay School of Mines, University 16 17 of Nevada, Reno, 2000. And basically, I've worked in the oil and gas industry since basically in college, 18 19 but full-time since like 1986. 20 MR. CLAWSON: Are you familiar with the 21 Squaw Canyon Field in the Upper Ismay and Desert 22 Creek Reservoir? 23 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, I am. 24 MR. JENSEN: May I ask, doesn't he --25 doesn't he need to be qualified as an expert since

1 he's --2 MR. CLAWSON: That's what I'm trying to do. 3 MR. JENSEN: Yeah, I -- well, do you need to get into this to get him to qualify? It seems to me 4 you've probably got to qualify him on something else 5 and then let him go. 6 MR. CLAWSON: Well, typically, an expert 7 witness is qualified to testify as an expert based on 8 education and/or experience. 9 10 MR. JENSEN: Well, before you get into 11 this -- that's where I'm going. Before you get into 12 this specific issue as here, it seems to me, I think 13 you're about there, maybe you just ought to move to 14 have him qualified, be treated as an expert witness. 15 MR. CLAWSON: That was actually my next 16 question. 17 MR. JENSEN: Okay. Thanks. 18 MR. CLAWSON: What I wanted to do, I mean, 19 first of all --20 MR. JENSEN: I'm clairvoyant. 21 MR. CLAWSON: Okay. He -- he has worked as a petroleum geologist in the industry for 30 years. 22 23 And based on that, he's an expert in the field. I 24 also generally like to provide some foundation for 25 his testimony when it comes to the Squaw Canyon

```
1
     Field, and that was the nature of that last question
 2
     I asked.
 3
              I'm not really asking -- I'm -- I mean, I'm
 4
     not going to ask that he be qualified as an expert in
     the Squaw Canyon Field, it's going to be more
 5
 6
     general.
 7
              MR. JENSEN: Okay.
              MR. CLAWSON: But I -- I appreciate that.
 8
 9
     That was actually my next question.
10
              MR. JENSEN: Thank you.
11
              MR. CLAWSON: I'd ask the Board recognize
12
     Mr. Ahmad as an expert for purposes of geology and
13
     geological interpretations for purposes of today's
14
     hearing.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Donaldson?
15
16
              MR. DONALDSON: We would like some more
17
     information about Mr. Ahmad's petroleum geology
18
     experience before we -- I mean --
19
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you have any specific
20
     questions, Mr. Donaldson?
21
              MR. DONALDSON: Yes, could you -- could you
22
     discuss your petroleum geology experience?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes. I've worked for --
23
24
     actually, Kaplinger & Associates was my position, and
25
     there we managed and assessed research for
```

1 third-party clients, including Minoco and Minson Oil
2 Company.

And thereafter, like I said, since 1986,
I've been working for Pacific Energy & Mining
Company. So not all of those years were in petroleum
engineering. Some of them was -- were in mining
engineering. I'm a registered mining engineer in
Pakistan. I'm an environmental manager in the state
of Nevada.

But as far as petroleum is concerned, we have also worked on the Cisco field, the Tin Cup Mesa Field, and currently, I'm working on the Delta Petroleum Green Town -- Green River Field.

So if you have any specific questions that -- that you'd like an answer, like --

MR. DONALDSON: Could you discuss a little bit the type of work you do specifically, in terms of petroleum geology, or you have done?

MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yeah. Basically, what I look at, and what I have done is look -- I look at the old oil fields is my main concern. And basically, what I look at there is what has the other company done wrong, for example, and what areas they have missed, what lateral continuity there is, and that's about it.

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: Do you examine well lots?
 2
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: Do you examine geological
 4
     maps?
 5
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
 6
              MR. CLAWSON: Do you do geological
7
     interpretation?
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
8
9
              MR. DONALDSON: Okay. We don't have any
10
     objection.
11
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Does the board have any
12
     questions or objections?
13
                   (No response.)
14
              Okay. Then we will recognize Mr. Ahmad as
15
     an expert as you have requested for purposes of the
16
     hearing.
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
17
18
              Now I would refer you to Exhibit No. 4. Are
19
     you -- have you examined this exhibit and are you
20
     familiar with it?
                    (Exhibit 4 was discussed.)
21
22
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, I have. Exhibit 4 is
23
     a reference section. And that is, again, from the
24
     final report of Utah Geological Survey.
25
              MR. CLAWSON: Could you please describe the
```

Upper Ismay and the Desert Creek formations in the vicinity of the Squaw Canyon Field?

MOBASHIR AHMAD: The Upper Ismay and Desert Creek are part of the Paradox Basin, which was basically formed around 300 million years ago with continental collisions between North America and maybe South America and Africa, or a mid-continental collision. Thereafter, the old ancestor Rockies uplifted and the Paradox Basin was born through such sites.

And there are basically two parts of the -of this particular basin. And we are concerned with
our fields, is in the planning sub basin. And this
particular field is right at the boundary line
between the north -- northeastern part and the
southwestern part. And the northeastern part is the
Upper Ismay formation -- producing formations, and
the southwestern part is the Desert Creek. So this
is like a critical junction.

But as far as Upper Ismay is concerned, the basic algal mound, shallow sea formations, and Desert Creek is basically near shore linear features.

There -- and essentially, other than that, if you are in Upper Ismay and Desert Creek, what can I say?

Upper Ismay is basically mostly limestone with some

variation of dolomite and limestone. And the Desert

Creek is mostly dolomite. And I can give you some

averages. Like, it's not exactly limestone.

Like, you know, our particular 1-19, the three initial zones that are producing. The first zone, I should not go into depth, it's 11 feet. The second zone is six feet. The third zone is six feet. And there is some interpretation as to exactly, you know, that the discrimination of the said zones.

But the top zone is like 76 percent dolomite, balance is limestone. So I don't need to mention that. Second zone is 37 percent dolomite, and the bottom zone is 74 percent dolomite. So these are not entirely homogeneous formations. They are somewhat mixed formations. With how we define the producing zone is through basic porosity and permeability. And the bottom of Desert Creek is basically -- it's both of these formations are separated by an impermeable layer and hydrite. So then they are both considered separate zones. And that's about it.

MR. CLAWSON: When you are talking about these zones, are -- have you examined the request for agency action?

MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, I have.

1 MR. CLAWSON: And when you're talking about 2 these separate zones, are these the same as the 3 spaced intervals that are described in the request 4 for agency action? 5 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, they are. 6 MR. CLAWSON: Okay. MOBASHIR AHMAD: I can give you the, you 7 know, the depths and information. 8 9 MR. CLAWSON: Well, you can -- if -- to save 10 time, I mean, I think we can just do it by reference 11 to the request for agency action. 12 MOBASHIR AHMAD: I would like to clarify 13 though one thing. 14 MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Well then do that. 15 MOBASHIR AHMAD: That was our board member's question, Mr. Jake's. For agency action, we are 16 17 requesting properties being from about 5250 to 5400 18 kelly bushing. And kelly bushing is technically 19 aboveground, so that makes it basically 5240 to 5390, 20 which is -- which basically -- let me make sure this 21 is correct -- which is basically minus 234 to minus 22 384 sea level. Okay? This is for the Upper Ismay. 23 As far as the Desert Creek is concerned, the 24 agency action was 5480 to 5580 kelly bushing. Again, 25 10 feet difference is 5470 to 5470 -- yeah -- to

1 5570. Excuse me. And the sea level depths are 464 to 564 below sea level. So that should clarify the 3 exact intervals. 4 MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Are there any other important parameters about the spaced intervals, the 5 6 Upper Ismay and the Desert Creek, that you wish to 7 discuss? You had talked about porosity. MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yeah, the porosity is 8 9 basically, in the Upper Ismay, the average porosity 10 is around 14 percent. And the permeability in the 11 same formation varies all over the place. You go 12 from test -- let's say point half percent to -- or immediate arrises to four, five. But the average 13 calculation was like 1.4 and that's like in reference 14 15 to calculation. I think everything is submitted on the exhibits. The permeability of the Desert Creek 16 17 is around 16 percent. And let's see. What else? 18 MR. CLAWSON: Percent or --19 TARIQ AHMAD: You mean the porosity is 16 20 percent. 21 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, correct. Oh, excuse 22 The permeability is definitely not. 23 Other than that, let me do bring in one 24 thing, so this will clarify. You wanted to see what the -- what the differentiated --25

```
There is basically a seal between -- or on
1
     both the formations so the oil does not escape, and
     the oil originates from the shale.
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: So your -- so the two
 4
     different intervals are separate and distinct?
 5
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct.
 6
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now, I'd refer you to
7
     Exhibit No. 10.
8
9
                    (Exhibit 10 was discussed.)
10
              Have you exhibit -- Exhibit 10, are you
11
     familiar with it?
12
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
13
              MR. CLAWSON: Could you please describe this
     exhibit for -- for the board?
14
15
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Exhibit 10 is basically,
     let's say, Upper Ismay carbonate structure map that
16
17
     tells you the sea level depths and how far below sea
18
     level most of the Upper Ismay is below the said -- we
19
     are looking at Section 19, and the scale on it can be
20
     found not very easily, but the quarter section is
21
     right in the center. So that's 2640, or whatever
     half of 5280 is, I think it is.
22
23
              And -- let's see, it also shows the proposed
24
     location. It shows you the concerned wells, 1-19, or
25
     19-1 and 3-19. It incorrectly shows the 1019
```

```
1
     Federal -- it calls it the Chambers. That's not
     called the Chambers on the DOGN. It's called Squaw
 3
     Canyon Federal 1019 and it shows as a producing well,
     all production. This was plugged and abandoned.
 4
              It also shows McCulloch 19-2, and that's --
 5
 6
     I think that's -- yeah, that is -- it's Federal 19-2
7
     now, and that is also a plugged and dry well.
              And what it does not show is the Three
8
     Amigos well. And Three Amigos well is somewhere, if
9
10
     you draw -- well, let's see. Federal 19-2 is 150
11
     feet from the north line, and Three Amigos is 715
     feet from the north line. And it's -- if you connect
12
     a line between 19-2 and 3-19, it should be somewhere
13
     along -- along that line. And that was also a dry
14
15
     hole.
              MR. JENSEN: That would be to the east then
16
17
     on that --
18
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
19
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's -- let's back up a
20
     little bit here.
21
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Okay.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. First of all,
22
23
     where did this map come from?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Oh, this comes from
24
25
     Marathon and McCulloch Oil Company.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay.
 2
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: And this is --
 3
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And is it a portion of a
 4
     larger map?
 5
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it is.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And do you know the name
 6
7
     of the larger map that it came from?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: This is their internal
8
     study. It's not for publication, but we can send you
9
10
     a record if you wish.
11
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Now, the -- the
12
     Chambers 16-18 Federal well that is in the northeast
13
     portion of this map.
14
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yeah, Squaw Canyon.
15
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You say that's
16
     incorrectly identified?
17
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: No. No. That's -- there's
18
     six -- there's six -- the -- that's -- these things
19
     are labeled incorrectly. Okay? There's no such
20
     thing as Chamber 16-18 upon the records. It's called
21
     Squaw Canyon Federal 16-18.
22
              MR. CLAWSON: Go slow.
23
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: All right.
24
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. So the -- so the
     Chamber 1618 Federal well on the northeast part of
25
```

```
1
     the map --
 2
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Uh-huh.
 3
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: -- is actually the Squaw
     Canyon?
4
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct.
 5
 6
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Squaw Canyon, what
7
     number?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Hang on. This should be --
8
9
              MR. HAROUNY: Which well is that?
10
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: This one.
11
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Oh, I only have two here,
12
     which is, let's see, make sure this DD 5605. I don't
13
     think there is such a -- there is some -- yes. I
     cannot locate that according to my -- according to my
14
15
     records. Yeah, I have another summary sheet, which
16
     is Exhibit 19.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Well, we haven't gotten to
18
     that yet.
19
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Okay. And in Section 18,
20
     we have two wells, one of which is in the -- let's
21
     see -- I do not see Chamber 1618 anywhere. But
22
     that's outside the subject matter, and we're not
     really handling that. The only thing we're concerned
23
24
     about in this particular -- or in my testimony is
25
     between 1-19 and 3-19.
```

```
1
              COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 1-19 and 3-19,
 2
     and what did you say after that?
 3
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: What -- my interest in this
     thing, this is outside our quarter section, is to
 4
     establish continuity between Squaw Canyon 1-19 and
 5
     3-19. These numbers have been transposed. And quite
 6
     often, in the DOGM records, a lot of older wells are
7
     transposed.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: Mr. Chairman, for purposes of
10
     clarifying the record, can I ask him some questions?
11
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes. I would appreciate
12
     that.
13
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Let's go back to the
14
     beginning.
15
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Okay.
              MR. CLAWSON: Looking at Exhibit No. 10,
16
     is -- is this a map of subject Section 19?
17
18
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: And are the subject lands, the
20
     northeast quarter of Section 19, where we see the
     3-19 Fed and the McCulloch 19-1?
21
22
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
23
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Is this a record that
24
     is part of MAR/REG's business files?
25
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: Where did MAR/REG get this
 2
     from?
 3
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: This is an internal memo
     for Marathon Oil and McCulloch Oil Company.
 4
              MR. CLAWSON: So it was generated by those
 5
     two companies?
 6
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct.
7
              MR. CLAWSON: And do you know how they
8
9
     generated it?
10
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: They generated it through
11
     the -- the -- the depths that they found when they
12
     were drilling the wells, and it is pretty much
13
     correct to our side. This is the porosity structure
14
     of the Upper Ismay.
15
              MR. CLAWSON: So you have examined the
     evidence that's presented to the extent that you have
16
17
     in your possession -- MAR/REG has in its
     possession -- you have examined the evidence that's
18
19
     portrayed on this map, and it conforms to your
20
     interpretation?
21
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes. Especially -- except
     for this well, as you have noticed, there's no depth
22
23
     written for the porosity on this.
24
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. When you say "this
25
     well," what well are you talking about?
```

```
1
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: The 16-18.
 2
              MR. CLAWSON: And that's in the upper
 3
     right-hand corner?
 4
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Right. Section 18.
 5
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Is this a map of the
 6
     Squaw Canyon Field.
7
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it is.
              MR. CLAWSON: Are there any -- well, you --
8
9
     you briefly just testified a little -- do you know
10
     the vintage of this map?
11
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: I think it was 1980, early
12
     '80s.
13
              MR. CLAWSON: And when you compare well
     numbers from records going back to 1980 and bring
14
15
     them forward, is it -- is it -- does it happen that
16
     the numbers are transposed?
17
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Often.
18
              MR. CLAWSON: Do you find that going on on
19
     this map?
20
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes. Let me cover the --
21
     we have basically six wells on this -- on this
22
     particular map. Five have been correlated as to
23
     their depths. That is -- let's see. This is 19-1.
24
     And that is -- that's correlated with our records.
25
              Then I will just be -- first one is instead
```

```
of McCulloch 19-1, that's Squaw Canyon 1-19.
1
              MR. CLAWSON: And where is that?
 3
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: This is in the southwest --
     southeast corner of -- of -- of off the quarter
 4
     section of the subject lands.
 5
 6
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So southeast of the
7
     northeast.
              MR. CLAWSON: (Nods head.)
8
9
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes. Yeah, the subject
10
     land in this question is northeast. Then going down,
11
     we have Federal 3-19. It's written as McCord
12
     (phonetic) 3-19. That is Federal 3-19.
13
              MR. CLAWSON: And that's located --
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: In -- yes -- on the
14
15
     northwest corner of the northeast quarter.
16
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay.
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: And then McCulloch 19-2 is
17
     Federal 19-2, and that is in the central portion of
18
19
     the northwest quarter.
20
              And then Chambers 10-19, which is in the
21
     south central part of the southeast quarter, is
     property known as Squaw Canyon Federal 10-19.
22
23
              And then in Section 18, south central is
     McCord called federal 1-18, and that is -- property
24
25
     name is Tin Cup Federal 1-19.
```

```
1
              And the only one that's left over is this
 2
     unknown 16-18; however, we do have two wells in
     Section 18. One is in the southeast corner of the
 3
     southwest quarter, and the other is in the northwest
 4
     corner of the southwest quarter.
 5
 6
              And both of these were essentially dry
     holes. Oh, one location is abandoned. Excuse me.
7
              MR. CLAWSON: So on this Exhibit 10, the
8
9
     Chambers 16-18 well that's plotted on this map
10
     doesn't show up in the divisions records.
11
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Just give me one second.
12
     Let me just --
13
              MR. CLAWSON: Is that right?
14
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Let me just verify here.
15
     The closest well that I have on my records is
     820 feet from the south line, 2140 feet from the west
16
17
     line. So obviously, that's not the same well.
18
              MR. CLAWSON: So -- so going back to my
19
     question. Southeast.
20
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Okay. That's correct.
                                                        So
21
     the proper name is Squaw Canyon Federal 16-18.
22
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay.
23
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Okay. My fault. I had it
     marked. And that was marked and abandoned.
24
25
              MR. HAROUNY: Which one was --
```

MOBASHIR AHMAD: The Chambers 16-18, the proper name is Squaw Canyon Federal 16-18. And this was plugged and abandoned as marked, but had a slight gassier in the Upper Ismay.

MR. CLAWSON: So having clarified this exhibit and corrected some of the labeling issues, can you please tell us the importance of this map?

MOBASHIR AHMAD: This basically shows you the depths to the Upper Ismay porosity -- zones of porosity. And it's -- it's -- it's correct mostly, except for establishes some continuity between 19- -- I will read it to expedite matters as it's labeled on the map so you can follow it.

MR. CLAWSON: That would be useful.

MOBASHIR AHMAD: The -- the continuity structure that's showing would be McCord 3-19 and McCord -- Tin Cup Federal 1-18 does not really exist.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Does -- say that again.

MOBASHIR AHMAD: What this map is showing is the above sea level, right, with the negative depths to the Upper Ismay porosity structure as determined in the early '80s by Marathon and McCulloch.

The depths written to the porosity zones are correct; however, some of the continuity that is shown may not exist, especially between 3-19, McCord,

```
1
     and McCord Tin Cup Federal 1-18.
              MR. JENSEN: How can you say that? What's
 3
     your basis?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: What's my basis? The quite
 4
 5
     evident basis is in the dry hole.
              MR. JENSEN: The Tin Cup 1-18?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
7
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Clawson, what's the
8
9
     purpose of this exhibit?
10
              MR. CLAWSON: I would say it's to show the
11
     structural area extent of the algal mound.
12
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: But Mr. Ahmad is just
13
     saying he doesn't agree with you.
14
              MR. CLAWSON: He doesn't agree with the --
15
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: The continuity.
16
              MR. CLAWSON: We're clarifying something.
              MR. HAROUNY: He doesn't agree with the
17
18
     names either.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: Well, no. The names do
20
     change.
21
              MR. HAROUNY: This map doesn't show any
22
     continuity.
23
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: This just shows the -- this
     is basically showing the structural relationship of
24
     the formations, but it does correlate it to the
25
```

porosity zones in the Upper Ismay. 1 2 MR. HAROUNY: Okay. But the dry hole is on 3 the other side of the productive porosity zone. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it shows you the depth 4 of the projected structure, like minus 329 feet below 5 sea level. But the only thing I am referring to, and 6 7 maybe it's the confusion, is even though the porosity zone exists there, there is no oil there because that 8 is a dry hole. That is what I say. 9 10 MR. CLAWSON: So just to clarify, the map is accurate in terms of porosity, but that doesn't 11 12 necessarily mean that there's oil there? 13 Does that help? MR. JENSEN: I hear. I just don't know what 14 15 it means. And I explained in general, relative -relative to your request. 16 17 MOBASHIR AHMAD: It's irrelevant, actually. MR. CLAWSON: Your statement is irrelevant? 18 19 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct. 20 MR. CLAWSON: Your correlation per your 21 testimony is irrelevant. MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct. 22 23 MR. CLAWSON: But I think we need to step 24 back a bit and establish what an algal mound is, 25 because some of the members of the board may not be

1 all that familiar with the nature of this clay 2 concept. 3 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Essentially, what we are trying to establish and the reason for us to come in 4 front of the board is that we believe there is 5 6 continuity in the northeast quarter of the section, 7 looking for the algal mounds. Or especially in the 8 Upper Ismay, and possibly -- and -- at least from our 9 lots, in the Desert Creek. 10 Now, just because a structure is laid, it 11 also matters where the source rocks are, if there is 12 a cap rock on top, they've got the oldest ones 13 standing. Especially, those algal mounds are not 14 very extensive features. They would start and 15 finish. 16 MR. CLAWSON: Let me interrupt you there. 17 Would you please tell the board what an algal mound 18 is? 19 MOBASHIR AHMAD: An algal mound is an air 20 shore feature that is -- that is left over after --21 after the deposition. And that's --22 MR. CLAWSON: Are they -- are they --23 MOBASHIR AHMAD: They are hold straws. 24 MR. CLAWSON: I'm sorry? 25 MOBASHIR AHMAD: They're hold straws, but in

```
1
     the oil company or someplace else, they will
     regain --
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: Are they a blanket sheet
 4
     sediment?
 5
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Not necessarily, no.
     They're discontinuous features. Semi-continuous.
 6
7
              MR. CLAWSON: Are they limited?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: Are -- are they small
10
     features?
11
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
12
              MR. CLAWSON: Isn't Squaw Canyon Field a
     small feature?
13
14
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it is.
15
              MR. CLAWSON: If it's a small feature, is --
16
     is it -- well -- so based on Exhibit 10, and your
17
     analysis of the records and -- and this map, does
18
     this give a picture of the aerial extent of -- of the
19
     productive or the possible productive zone in the
20
     Desert Creek formation?
21
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it does.
22
              MR. CLAWSON: For -- in the spaced
23
     intervals?
24
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
25
              MR. CLAWSON: Testifying on the request for
```

1 action? 2 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes. 3 MR. CLAWSON: Is that the general purpose of this? 4 5 MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it is. 6 MR. CLAWSON: Does that help? 7 MR. HAROUNY: You kind of lost me. Sorry. The general purpose is if it is to establish 8 9 porosity throughout the upper -- upper part of 10 Section 19, and the subject part of Section 18, and 11 the -- and the porosity zones, which basically 12 Ismay -- Upper Ismay formation, that's okay. 13 But what is the feature that makes it noncontinuous, the porosity zone's there but why is 14 15 it -- is it not saturated? So the issue being is where is the oil water contact, if you will, and 16 17 where is saturation point or not, and at what point 18 in time the current proposed well is well outside of 19 that line. At what point in time it's going to be 20 horizontal -- horizontal, and what point in time it's 21 going to be within the porosity zone. 22 MR. CLAWSON: That's testimony that's going 23 to come later. With -- with -- as to the -- as to 24 where the horizontal well will intercept. I mean, 25 we're trying to establish an existence of the pull

```
between the northeast corner.
1
 2
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: May I say something?
              I think what has led to confusion is there's
 3
     some technical terms which you are not quite
 4
     understanding. 1-19 is above and outside our agency
 5
 6
     action request. Our agency agent [sic] request is
7
     for the northeast quarter. And I have established to
     answering the questions of our attorney, that there
9
     is continuity between 1-19 and 3-19. And this is
10
     outside of the subject matter for this hearing.
11
              I just mentioned that a fact in passing, and
12
     I've not -- do not mean to --
              MR. CLAWSON: It's been suggested that maybe
13
14
     we take a five-minute break.
15
              MR. JENSEN: I think that would be a good
16
     idea.
17
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's take a five-minute
18
     break.
19
              MR. HAROUNY: I need --
20
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. It's -- let's
     reconvene at five minutes until 11.
21
22
                   (Short recess taken.)
23
              MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Clawson, go ahead.
              MR. CLAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
24
25
     want to --
```

```
1
              Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 2
              I want to briefly touch again on Exhibit 10,
 3
     try to -- try to clarify once again, and -- and ask
     my witness, is -- does Exhibit 10 -- is it mapped on
 4
     porosity, the Desert Creek Formation?
 5
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: No, it is not.
7
              MR. CLAWSON: What is it mapped on?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: It is mapped on the
8
     structural depth to the Upper Ismay carbonate
9
10
     structure.
11
              MR. CLAWSON: To the base of the Upper
12
     Ismay?
13
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: No, to the top of the Upper
14
     Ismay, carbonate structures specifically in the Upper
15
     Ismay.
16
             MR. CLAWSON: Oh, I'm sorry. I jumped
17
     ahead. My fault. So it's not to the -- the top of
18
     the Upper Ismay?
19
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct.
20
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now I'd like you to
     refer to Exhibit No. 11.
21
22
              Have you examined this exhibit and are you
23
     familiar with it?
24
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, I have.
25
              MR. CLAWSON: Is -- is this a -- a similar
```

```
type map that was produced by a prior owner of -- of
1
 2
     these properties?
 3
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: It's been -- it's produced
     similarly to Exhibit 10.
 4
              MR. CLAWSON: By the same parties that
 5
 6
     produced Exhibit 10?
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes. Yes. And it is part
7
     of the larger map produced.
8
9
              MR. CLAWSON: And are we looking at subject
10
     Section 19 again?
11
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Exactly the same.
12
              MR. CLAWSON: And -- and the wells, as they
13
     are labeled on this exhibit, have the same
     relationship that you already testified to on
14
     Exhibit 10?
15
16
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Would you please tell us what
     this exhibit and what it shows -- what this exhibit
18
19
     is and what it shows.
20
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: This an ISO pack which
21
     basically shows the thickness of the Upper Ismay
22
     carbonate beneath the subject lands.
23
              MR. CLAWSON: And what is -- what is an ISO
24
     pack?
25
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: ISO pack shows the
```

```
thickness of the particular zone of concern.
1
 2
              MR. CLAWSON: Does this show any real extent
 3
     of the expected pool --
 4
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: (Nods head).
 5
              MR. CLAWSON: -- in the upper Ismay
     formation?
 6
7
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it does.
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Now I'd like to move on
8
9
     to Exhibit No. 12.
10
                     (Exhibit 12 was discussed.)
11
              MR. CLAWSON: Are you familiar with this
12
     exhibit, and have you examined it?
13
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, I have.
              MR. CLAWSON: Could you please provide us
14
15
     with a description of what this exhibit is.
16
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: This shows you the Desert
     Creek structure similar to Exhibit 10 for the Upper
17
18
     Ismay structure.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Is this rectangular box
20
     equivalent to subject Section 19?
21
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it is.
22
              MR. CLAWSON: And first of all, is this the
     Desert Creek structure map?
23
24
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it is.
25
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. And -- and these -- the
```

```
1
     numbers, the 3-19 and another number, 1-19, those
2
     represent the wells that exist in the northeast
 3
     quarter of Section 19?
 4
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Correct.
 5
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Clawson.
 6
              MR. CLAWSON: Is there a --
7
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Wait, just a minute.
              First of all, I don't see a 1-19 on this
8
9
     map.
10
              MR. CLAWSON: Did I say 19? 1-9. Okay.
11
     I'm going --
12
              MR. HAROUNY: Okay. Okay.
              MR. CLAWSON: Do you see 1-9?
13
14
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
15
              MR. CLAWSON: Is that incorrectly labeled?
16
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, it should be 1-19.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Okay. And the other wells --
18
     well, the other -- well, well symbols that are
19
     labeled on this map, are those numbers consistent
20
     with what you testified as to Exhibit No. 10, terms
21
     of the wells.
22
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: 19 -- 2-19 should be 19-2.
23
     And the Amigos -- Three Amigos well is not plotted on
24
     here, but that is as given before for the proper
25
     location.
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: Have you examined the Three
 2
     Amigos well?
 3
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
              MR. CLAWSON: And would the -- would --
 4
     would -- does that well provide any information that
 5
 6
     would change your interpretation?
7
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: No, it does not.
              MR. CLAWSON: Did you generate this map?
8
9
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes, I did.
10
              MR. HAROUNY: Mr. Clawson, can I -- can I
11
     please --
12
              MR. CLAWSON: Yeah, sure.
              MR. HAROUNY: What if -- if I lost this
13
14
     sheet of paper, how would I find it somewhere and
15
     what would it be pertaining -- what, besides -- there
     is no range or section on it.
16
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Right. And he just testified
     that the rectangle is subject to Section 19, which is
18
19
     the same, you know, town -- section that we've been
20
     dealing wit.
              MR. HAROUNY: Okay.
21
              MR. CLAWSON: And he also testified that the
22
     wells 3-19 and the 1-9, which should be 1-19.
23
24
              MR. HAROUNY: So this is -- we should put a
25
     big 19 in the middle of this somewhere. This is
```

```
1
     Section 19?
 2
              MR. CLAWSON: Right. It should have a --
 3
              MR. HAROUNY: I know that, but for purpose
     of identification for exhibits --
 4
              MR. CLAWSON: I agree. It should have a lot
 5
 6
     more labels on it.
7
              MR. QUIGLEY: So my question is: is 1-19 the
     same well as 19-1?
8
9
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: Yes.
10
              MR. QUIGLEY: Okay.
11
              MR. CLAWSON: Over a period of time, if you
12
     go back into the well records, you will find the
13
     well's numbers are transposed frequently, yet it's
     the same well.
14
15
              MR. QUIGLEY: They are transposed on the
     documents we're reading right here?
16
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Right.
18
              MR. QUIGLEY: Okay.
19
              MR. CLAWSON: And they may have had that
20
     number back then. On Exhibits 10 and 11, they may
     have had those numbers back then. And since that
21
22
     time, those numbers may have been transposed in the
23
     records. A well -- these are not the unique
     identification number for wells. That's the APIN
24
25
     number, and we have in the APIN number here that's.
```

```
1
              MR. HAROUNY: So Mr. Mobashir has not
     corrected in his map any names, correct?
 3
              MR. CLAWSON: Well, 10 and 11?
              MR. HAROUNY: Yeah.
 4
              MR. CLAWSON: Actually, those were generated
 5
     previously by the prior owners.
 6
7
              MR. HAROUNY: But these new ones --
              MR. CLAWSON: These new ones, that's what
8
     we're trying to take care of.
9
10
              MOBASHIR AHMAD: If I may just clarify
11
     without causing confusion. Exhibit 19 has not been
     entered. It lists all the wells in this particular
12
     field with API numbers with the formation that's --
13
14
     with all the particulars.
15
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We -- don't have
16
     Exhibit 19.
17
              MR. CLAWSON: Exhibit -- well, he's called
18
     it Exhibit 19. I haven't entered -- I haven't filed
19
     Exhibit 19. It's a summary of all the wells. Maybe
20
     it would be a really good idea to put that in the
21
     record, so let's do that.
22
              MR. JOHNSON: We're in need of a very good
23
     idea, so go ahead, Mr. Clawson.
24
              Are these -- is that the handout we got this
25
     morning?
```

```
1
              MR. CLAWSON: No. It can be a part of it
 2
     though. We haven't got to those exhibits yet.
 3
              If I could make this part of that package.
     So while we're waiting to distribute that summary
 4
     sheet, can we continue?
 5
 6
              MR. JENSEN: Can we go off the record for a
7
     moment?
              MR. JOHNSON: Let's go off the record.
8
9
                   (Short recess taken.)
10
              MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Let's go back on the
11
     record.
12
              Mr. Clawson?
13
              MR. CLAWSON: It was -- before going off the
14
     record, it was suggested -- or while we were off the
15
     record, it was suggested that perhaps we should
16
     continue this matter until the Board's October
17
     hearing for purposes of clarifying some of these
18
     exhibits. And MAR/REG appreciates the Board's
19
     concerns in those regards, and -- and is agreeable to
20
     continuing this hearing and would move the Board to
21
     continue this hearing until the Board's October
22
     hearing.
23
              MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
24
              Is there any objection to that from the
     Board?
25
```

1	MR. JENSEN: No objection.
2	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Then let's continue
3	this until the October 27th hearing of the board in
4	Salt Lake City.
5	MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
6	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.
7	I believe that's all the matters we have to
8	hear this morning, so we are adjourned.
9	Thank you.
10	(This meeting was adjourned at
11	11:13 a.m.)
12	* * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF UTAH ) )
4	COUNTY OF UTAH )
5	I, Emily A. Gibb, a Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, hereby
7	certify:
8	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken
9	before me at the time and place set forth in the
10	caption hereof; that the witness was placed under
11	oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
12	but the truth; that the proceedings were taken down
13	by me in shorthand and thereafter my notes were
14	transcribed through computer-aided transcription; and
15	the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true,
16	and accurate record of such testimony adduced and
17	oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
18	I have subscribed my name on this 3rd day of
19	October, 2010.
20	
21	
22	Emily A. Gibb, RPR, CSR
23	
24	
25	